

The Impact of Disparities in Education Quality on Economic Growth Traps and Job Market Segmentation

Trisna Taufik Darmawansyah,^{1*} Sanawi,² Yani Aguspriyani,³ Setia Mulyawan,⁴ Surahman,⁵ Dede Sudirja,⁶

^{1,2,3,5,6} UIN Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin Banten, Indonesia

⁴ UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: trisna.taufik@uinbanten.ac.id

Abstract: Many middle-income countries encounter substantial obstacles to attaining developed-economy status due to the structural phenomenon known as the Middle-Income Trap. A primary factor contributing to this challenge is the low quality and unequal access to education, which impedes the development of high-quality human capital. This article examines the mechanisms by which educational inequality fosters labor market segmentation and sustains the low-growth trap. A comprehensive literature review demonstrates that exclusive education systems exacerbate income inequality, confining poor communities and rural areas to persistent low wages and limited productivity. In contrast, increased investment in inclusive basic and higher education, integration of digital skills, and comprehensive labor market reforms are identified as essential strategies for overcoming this economic trap.

Keywords: Educational Inequality, Human Capital Accumulation, Middle Income Trap, Labor Market Segmentation, Digital Economy.

Introduction

Sustainable economic growth relies not only on the availability of physical capital, but also on the quality of the human capital that drives it. In the modern economic setting, investment in people, through improved health and enhanced education, has proven to be a key determinant of the direction and speed of a nation's development. However, the Middle-Income Trap phenomenon shows that many countries fail to transition to high-income status due to organizational inefficiencies, weak institutions, limited innovation, and inadequate human capital (Çağdaş, 2026; Hutchinson & Basu Das, 2016; Tian & Chen, 2014). This structural failure generally occurs when a country loses its competitiveness in low-cost manufacturing exports but is unable to compete in knowledge-intensive, high-value-added industrial sectors.

Developing countries frequently remain trapped in cycles of low productivity due to slow labor transformation. Although the education sector is intended to promote social mobility and innovation, it often fails to achieve these objectives because of significant disparities in quality. Differences in educational access between urban and rural areas, as well as among socioeconomic groups, result in unequal accumulation of human capital from early childhood. Inconsistent quality of basic education weakens the foundation for workforce competency. Consequently, marginalized groups face barriers to accessing higher education, which perpetuates labor market segmentation.

In this context, the labor market becomes polarized: a minority of highly educated workers secure high wages in the modern sector, while the majority remain confined to the informal sector, which is characterized by low productivity and wages. Enhancing human capital through inclusive education reform is therefore both a social imperative and an economic necessity. Without systemic reforms to address disparities in educational quality, middle-income countries will be unable to capitalize on their demographic dividend and will remain susceptible to the low-growth trap. Education is central to overcoming this economic challenge. As a foundational pillar of development, the education sector is tasked with transforming an unskilled labor force into a productive asset capable of driving innovation and macroeconomic efficiency. However, middle-income countries often fail to realize the full potential of their education sectors, as evidenced by lower returns on educational investment compared with developed countries (Cm et al., 2023). This deficit is generally attributed to low graduate quality, misalignment between curricula and industry requirements, and inadequate research support facilities. As a result, substantial funding allocated to education does not yield proportional increases in national productivity, leading to inefficient public spending that fails to generate significant economic growth.

In light of these systemic challenges, a strategic reorientation of policy is essential. Investment in human capital, particularly within skill-intensive and technology-driven sectors, is critical for achieving sustainable economic growth (Cm et al., 2023; Hutchinson & Basu Das, 2016). Developing countries can no longer rely on comparative advantages derived from low labor costs or finite natural resources. Advancement toward developed nation status requires a transition to a knowledge-based economy, which is achievable only if the education system produces globally competitive professionals in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM).

Accordingly, human capital investment should be strategically directed to support advanced training programs, improve the digital infrastructure of educational institutions, and reform vocational and higher education systems. Sustained commitment in these areas enables middle-income countries to develop high-value-

added industrial ecosystems led by domestic innovators. Ultimately, effective coordination between targeted human capital investment and technological advancement is essential for eliminating structural poverty, reducing labor market segmentation, and facilitating the transition out of prolonged economic stagnation.

A primary cause of this issue is the pronounced disparities in educational quality across various levels of the instructional system. Limited access to quality education restricts opportunities for disadvantaged populations to pursue higher education, thereby reinforcing persistent inequality (Bartak et al., 2023; Naito & Nishida, 2017; Nakajima & Nakamura, 2012). When high-quality education is prohibitively expensive, upward social mobility for marginalized groups stagnates. Instead of functioning as instruments of equality, educational institutions often serve as mechanisms for class reproduction, enabling the elite to acquire advanced competencies. At the same time, disadvantaged groups remain limited to outdated basic skills.

These disparities lead to structural segmentation in the labor market by socioeconomic status, geography, and gender (Anjum, 2025; Sharma, 2023). This segmentation results in a dual labor market: the primary sector offers high wages, social security, and career stability for graduates of elite institutions, while the secondary sector comprises low-wage informal workers lacking adequate legal protection. Geographical inequalities, such as urban-rural divides, intensify this issue due to insufficient infrastructure and a shortage of qualified teachers in remote areas. As a result, rural workers are excluded from formal employment opportunities that require advanced skills. This polarization not only widens the poverty gap but also entrains the economy in a low-productivity state, as most human resources are not effectively integrated into productive sectors.

This article aims to analyze the relationships among educational inequality, inadequate human capital accumulation, labor market segmentation, and their combined impact on the stability of economic growth. By providing a comprehensive understanding of how these factors interact from the classroom to the labor market, this study seeks to establish a robust theoretical and empirical foundation. Such analysis is essential for formulating affirmative action policies that can break the cycle of systemic poverty and enable countries to escape the low-growth trap.

Method

This research employs a qualitative approach and a systematic literature review, gathering in-depth data from scientific literature, reputable journals, and case studies from several developing countries, including Latin America, Turkey, Vietnam, and Morocco, published through 2026. The collected data are analyzed through three interconnected systematic stages. The first stage involves concept identification to isolate the main research variables: educational inequality, human capital accumulation, the middle-income trap, and labor market segmentation. The synthesis stage follows, analyzing how unequal educational inputs affect employment outcomes and macroeconomic stability (Anjum, 2025; Conga & Uyen, 2019; Moreira, 2024; Sharma, 2023). The final stage involves formulating policy recommendations and developing empirically grounded strategies to address labor market dysfunction, foster innovation, and minimize labor market mismatches (Çağdaş, 2026; Cui & Feng, 2025; Moreira, 2024; Tian & Chen, 2014).

Results and Discussion

1. Educational Inequality and Its Impact on Human Capital

Educational inequality has a direct and lasting impact on a country's human capital accumulation and macroeconomic performance. In societies with exclusive education systems, pre-existing income inequality further widens disparities in human capital development (Bartak et al., 2023). This occurs because access to quality learning resources, adequate facilities, and skilled teachers is concentrated among financially privileged groups. As a result, individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds encounter significant barriers to competition, leading to institutional dysfunction in which educational institutions no longer promote social mobility but instead reinforce class reproduction and intergenerational poverty.

The institutional role of the education system is pivotal in shaping and intensifying inequality through divergent instructional pathways. At the primary level, low productivity disproportionately affects disadvantaged populations by limiting the development of foundational competencies during early cognitive growth. When elementary schools in marginalized areas fail to provide robust literacy, numeracy, and reasoning skills, children from low-income families are deprived of essential developmental capital. At the tertiary level, while expanding access can improve overall educational attainment, insufficiently inclusive policies often exclude disadvantaged groups through regressive tuition structures and selection processes that favor urban schools.

At the macroeconomic level, disparities within education systems lead to significant inefficiencies in resource allocation and hinder national economic growth. Evidence from regions such as Latin America and Turkey demonstrates that educational inequality is closely linked to inefficient resource use and reduced economic growth, highlighting the necessity of inclusive education policies (Fernández et al., 2025; Gungor, 2010). When

institutional barriers prevent a substantial portion of the population from realizing their intellectual potential, countries lose critical opportunities for innovation and productivity gains. Therefore, equitable investment at all educational levels is both a matter of social justice and a strategic imperative for dismantling labor market segmentation and overcoming the low-growth trap.

2. Middle-Income Trap

Countries experiencing the middle-income trap typically lose global competitiveness because they can no longer compete in low-cost manufacturing and lack the human capital required for high-tech industries. This transitional phase presents a significant structural challenge: traditional comparative advantages based on inexpensive labor diminish as new low-income countries emerge. At the same time, the transition to a knowledge-based economy is constrained by insufficient labor skills. As a result, economic growth slows, and national productivity stagnates due to limited adoption of advanced technologies and an inability to generate high-value innovations for international markets. Evidence from around the world shows that without aggressive human capital reform, this economic trap will inevitably become prolonged. Countries like Vietnam and Morocco are prime examples of how inadequate investment in human capital and in the quality of education hinders their ability to escape the middle-income trap. In these regions, human capital accumulation is slow and uneven, leaving the majority of the local workforce technically unprepared to transition from agriculture or standard manufacturing to digital and high-tech industries. The failure of education systems to produce competent and adaptable graduates ultimately locks these countries into a cycle. Strategic intervention through enhanced education and employment governance is therefore critical for achieving long-term economic sustainability. To circumvent the middle-income trap, policy priorities must emphasize improving educational quality, fostering innovation, and addressing labor market mismatches. Governments should redesign curricula to meet contemporary industry requirements, broaden access to technological skills training, and eliminate barriers to job segmentation. Integrating advancements in classroom instruction with a research-supportive business environment enables middle-income countries to increase aggregate productivity and advance toward developed-economy status.

3. Labor Market Segmentation and the Challenges of the Digital Era

The downstream effect of educational inequality is labor market segmentation. A key consequence of educational inequality is labor-market segmentation, which entrenches rigid social-class divisions within the workforce. This segmentation is driven by structural inequalities, including discrimination based on gender, caste, or socioeconomic status, as well as disparities in access to education and essential skills. When instructional quality is unequal from the outset, the labor market tends to assess individuals based on institutional affiliations and sociological backgrounds rather than actual potential. This dynamic results in market dysfunction, with marginalized groups consistently relegated to the secondary sector, where protections are minimal. Wage and opportunity disparities are particularly pronounced among rural workers, who often earn less and have fewer opportunities than their urban counterparts due to geographic isolation from formal economic centers. These spatial disparities perpetuate low productivity and reinforce cycles of intergenerational poverty. Digital technologies present both opportunities and substantial risks that may alter or exacerbate employment dynamics. On the one hand, it enables greater mobility, flexibility, and more efficient skill matching, unconstrained by geographic limitations. Technological integration can expand market access for skilled workers in remote areas through freelance platforms and digital applications. However, this transition also poses a latent threat: the digital economy may intensify structural inequalities if access to technological infrastructure and digital skills training remains uneven across social groups. The resulting digital divide could create a new form of labor-market segmentation, in which individuals lacking technological literacy become increasingly marginalized and confined to low-growth economic sectors. In contrast, the benefits of the digital economy accrue primarily to a small, highly educated elite.

Conclusion

Disparities in educational quality are a fundamental cause of low economic growth in developing countries. Inequitable access to education impedes the optimal accumulation of human capital among disadvantaged populations, resulting in labor market segmentation and wage inequality. Without structural reforms within education systems, middle-income countries will remain constrained by low productivity and unable to overcome the Middle-Income Trap. Market segmentation and the low-growth trap require comprehensive, targeted policy interventions. First, governments should ensure equitable and inclusive access to education by reallocating resources to improve the efficiency and productivity of basic education and expanding higher-education scholarships for marginalized groups. Second, digital skills development programs must be systematically integrated into technology-focused curricula to reduce competency gaps between urban and rural populations in the digital economy. Finally, implementing equitable labor market policies, including anti-discrimination measures, is essential to addressing structural wage disparities based on socioeconomic status, caste, and gender.

References

- Anjum, S. (2025). Wage Differentials in Urban Labor Markets for Regular Salaried Workers: An Analysis for Socio-Religious Groups in India. *Indian Journal of Labor Economics*, 68(3), 1093–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41027-025-00575-z>
- Bartak, J., Jabłoński, Ł., & Gavriletea, M. D. (2023). Income Inequality and Human Capital Acquisition. What Is the Role of Educational Institutions? *Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics*, 67–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19656-0_6
- Çağdaş, Y. (2026). The Success of Structural Reforms in Overcoming the Middle-Income Trap: New Perspectives on Economic Development. *Sosyoekonomi*, 34(68), 475–498. <https://doi.org/10.17233/sosyoekonomi.2026.02.20>
- Cm, J., Hoang, N. T., & Yarram, S. R. (2023). Human capital and the middle-income trap revisited. *Applied Economics*, 55(34), 4003–4022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2022.2121379>
- Conga, P. T., & Uyen, P. T. M. (2019). Economic outlook and the middle-income trap in Vietnam's digital era. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(5), 125–146. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85076511588&partnerID=40&md5=acfc88f1e6ed47deb99b90cbb84320ea>
- Cui, L., & Feng, F. (2025). How does the Digital Economy Affect Inter-city Labor Market Segmentation: “Deepen Divides” or “Bridge Gaps”? *Modern Economic Science*, 47(2), 54–65. <https://doi.org/10.20069/j.cnki.DJKX.202502004>
- Duy, Q. P., Nguyen, H. L., Thi, T. M. D., Thi, H. A. T., Nguyen, P. T., & Hoang, T. K. (2026). Building the ARIMA Model for Forecasting the Unemployment Rate by Gender in Vietnam. *Journal of Data Science and Intelligent Systems*, 4(1), 76–83. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewJDSIS52024415>
- Fernández, R., Pagés, C., Székely, M., & Acevedo, I. (2025). Education inequalities in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Oxford Open Economics*, 4, i55–i76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oec/odae013>
- Gungor, N. D. (2010). Education, human capital inequality, and economic growth: Evidence from Turkey. *Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies*, 10(2), 53–71. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-79951703670&partnerID=40&md5=5b67dca486e6d0dc00a5f143021ee5f2>
- Hutchinson, F. E., & Basu Das, S. (2016). Asia and the middle-income trap. In *Asia and the Middle-Income Trap*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315677606>
- Moncada Aristizábal, J. A., & Cala Vitery, F. (2025). Understanding Persistent Wage Disparities in Rural Colombia: Comparative Lessons from Latin America. *Social Sciences*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14120677>
- Moreira, E. P. (2024). Morocco: Growth, employment, and policies to avoid the middle-income trap. In *Avoiding the Middle-Income Trap in Africa: Economic Challenges and Policy Responses* (pp. 259–318). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-69248-2_8
- Naito, K., & Nishida, K. (2017). Multistage public education, voting, and income distribution. *Journal of Economics / Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie*, 120(1), 65–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00712-016-0513-5>
- Nakajima, T., & Nakamura, H. (2012). How do elementary and higher education affect human capital accumulation and inequality? A note. *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 16(1), 151–158. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1365100511000770>
- Sharma, M. (2023). STEM education and gender income parity in the USA, 2019. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100541>
- Tian, G., & Chen, X. (2014). The Nature and Avoidance of the Middle Income Trap. *Frontiers of Economics in China*, 9(3), 347–369. <https://doi.org/10.3868/s060-003-014-0018-3>