

The Tawhidic Worldview in Islamic Intellectual Tradition: Epistemological Analysis And Its relevance to Contemporary Curriculum Discourse

Meli Fauziah^{1*}, Aan Hasanah², Tedi Priatna³, Qiqi Yuliati Zaqiah⁴

^{1,2,3,4} UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: melifauziah@uinsgd.ac.id

Abstract: This study aims to examine the epistemological foundations of the Tawhidic worldview within the Islamic intellectual tradition and explore its relevance to contemporary curriculum discourse. Employing a qualitative library research approach, the study analyzes classical and contemporary Islamic scholarship, focusing on key epistemological concepts such as the unity of knowledge, the relationship between revelation and reason, and the ethical purpose of education. Data are collected through document analysis and interpreted using a thematic and philosophical framework. The findings indicate that the Tawhidic worldview constitutes a comprehensive epistemological framework grounded in the unity of God, truth, knowledge, and human existence. It integrates revelation (*wahy*), reason (*'aql*), empirical inquiry, and ethical consciousness into a coherent structure of knowledge. In contrast to secular epistemologies that often separate scientific knowledge from metaphysical and moral foundations, the Tawhidic paradigm offers a holistic understanding of education that links intellectual development with spiritual and ethical formation. The study argues that many contemporary curriculum challenges stem from an underlying epistemological fragmentation, and that the Tawhidic worldview provides a viable framework for overcoming this divide through the integration of knowledge and values. This study contributes to the literature by reconceptualizing *tawhid* as an epistemological paradigm rather than merely a theological doctrine, bridging Islamic intellectual heritage with contemporary curriculum theory, and offering a philosophical foundation for curriculum reform. It concludes that the Tawhidic worldview remains highly relevant for developing holistic, value-oriented, and intellectually integrated curricula capable of addressing the educational challenges of the twenty-first century.

Keywords: Tawhidic Worldview, Islamic Epistemology, Islamic Intellectual Tradition, Curriculum Discourse, Knowledge Integration.

Introduction

The Tawhidic worldview, grounded in the principle of divine unity, forms the core epistemological and ontological foundation of the Islamic intellectual tradition (Ahmad, 2019). Tawhid provides an integrated conception of reality that harmonizes spiritual, ethical, and material dimensions, enabling classical Muslim scholars to view knowledge (*'ilm*) as a coherent whole derived from revelation, reason, and human experience (Sassi, 2020). This unity of knowledge stands in contrast to post-Enlightenment Western epistemologies, which fragmented knowledge into secular and religious domains, diminishing coherence and moral purpose within education (Mowlana, 2013).

In modern educational systems, this fragmentation has intensified through discipline-based and secular curriculum models that separate ethical–spiritual dimensions from scientific and social inquiry (S. M. N. Al-Attas, 2020). The resulting value-neutral pedagogies and weakened moral formation have generated renewed scholarly interest in Tawhidic epistemology as a foundation for reconstructing curriculum theory. Tawhid, understood as both a metaphysical principle and a comprehensive epistemic framework, offers an alternative that integrates revelation (*naql*), reason (*'aql*), and *fitrah* into a relational, value-oriented approach to knowledge capable of countering the reductive tendencies of positivism and secular modernity.

A significant problem in contemporary Islamic education is the growing disconnect between the Tawhidic worldview that historically shaped Islamic intellectual tradition and the predominantly secular epistemological assumptions that inform modern curriculum discourse. This disconnect has resulted in fragmented conceptions of knowledge, weakened integration of religious and scientific disciplines, and uncertainty regarding the philosophical foundations of curriculum development in Islamic educational institutions. Therefore, a critical epistemological analysis of the Tawhidic worldview is needed to clarify its theoretical foundations and assess its relevance for contemporary curriculum discourse. By bridging classical Islamic scholarship with modern educational thought, the Tawhidic framework presents a holistic and contextually grounded foundation capable of addressing moral dislocation, identity crises, and the erosion of ethical values in education.

Many studies discuss Islamic epistemology as a normative-conceptual discourse without systematically reconstructing the intellectual structure that organizes curriculum formation itself. On the other hand, studies on curriculum integration tend to focus on the insertion of values into educational content, pedagogy, or institutional identity (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2018, 2023; Shamsaei & Shah, 2022), while the

more fundamental epistemological organization of knowledge remains relatively underexplored (Junaedi, Syafi'i, Hasyim, & Jawid Marwat, 2025; Karwadi, Bin Zakaria, Setiyawan, & Ferdi Hasan, 2025). Consequently, the relationship between worldview, the hierarchy of knowledge, educational objectives, and curriculum logic continues to remain fragmented within contemporary Islamic educational discourse.

While numerous studies discuss *tawhid* as a theological doctrine and examine curriculum reform in Islamic education, relatively few have investigated the Tawhidic worldview as a comprehensive epistemological framework within the Islamic intellectual tradition and explored its implications for contemporary curriculum theory. How can the Tawhidic Worldview, as articulated within the Islamic intellectual tradition, serve as an epistemological foundation for reconstructing contemporary curriculum discourse toward a holistic and integrated conception of knowledge and education? This study addresses that gap by analyzing the epistemological structure of the Tawhidic worldview and examining its relevance to current debates on curriculum integration, knowledge construction, and educational transformation.

Method

This study employs a qualitative library-based inquiry using a philosophical-hermeneutic approach. The conceptual approach was selected because the research focuses on analyzing intellectual foundations, interpreting philosophical ideas, and developing a theoretical framework for the design of Islamic educational curricula, rather than testing empirical relationships between variables (Biesta, 2011). Academically, this study is situated within the fields of Islamic philosophy of education and curriculum epistemology, particularly those concerned with the relationship between the organization of knowledge, educational orientation, and human formation within contemporary Islamic education (Aktan, 2018).

The sources of data in this study consist of both primary and secondary materials. The primary sources include classical and modern works of Islamic intellectual tradition, including contributions from scholars such as Al-Ghazali, Ibn Taimiyah, Ibn Khaldun, Al-Attas, and Al-Faruqi. The secondary sources comprise peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and academic proceedings related to Islamic epistemology, philosophy of education, curriculum theory, Islamization of knowledge, and the tawhidic worldview. The literature was primarily collected from internationally recognized academic databases, including Scopus and Web of Science (WoS), to ensure scholarly credibility, academic rigor, and conceptual relevance. In addition, Google Scholar was utilized as a complementary search engine to identify relevant interdisciplinary literature, trace citation networks, and locate influential works that may not yet be indexed in Scopus or WoS. This approach enabled broader coverage and strengthened the comprehensiveness of the literature review (Snyder, 2019).

The literature collection process was conducted using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) procedure as a systematic technique for identifying, selecting, and evaluating academic sources. However, within this study, the SLR was not employed as the primary research method, but rather as a procedure to enhance transparency and rigor in the collection of conceptual literature (Xiao & Watson, 2017). The literature search was conducted through the Scopus and Web of Science databases using combinations of keywords such as *Islamic epistemology*, *tawhidic worldview*, *curriculum epistemology*, *Islamic philosophy of education*, *knowledge hierarchy*, and *Islamization of knowledge*.

The initial search process yielded 750 publications. Subsequently, a gradual screening process was conducted through duplicate elimination, abstract evaluation, conceptual relevance assessment, and alignment with the research focus. The selected literature was limited to peer-reviewed English-language articles published between 2010 and 2025, as well as several classical works possessing fundamental theoretical significance for Islamic epistemology and philosophy of education. Following the screening process, 28 publications were selected as the primary sources of analysis because they were considered to provide the most relevant theoretical contributions to the development of the study's conceptual framework.

This study applied theoretical sampling, namely the selection of literature based on its conceptual contribution to the relationship between worldview, the organization of knowledge, and curriculum design. Therefore, articles that were general descriptive works, normative-popular discussions, or lacking direct relevance to curriculum epistemology were excluded from the analysis process in order to maintain the philosophical depth and conceptual coherence of the study.

The analysis stage was conducted through four interrelated processes. First, thematic-conceptual analysis was employed to identify major themes within the literature, such as the *tawhidic worldview*, the hierarchy of knowledge, the integration of revelation and rationality, and the orientation of Islamic education (Braun & Clarke, 2021). Second, philosophical analysis was used to examine the ontological, epistemological, and axiological assumptions within the thought of Al-Ghazali, At Taimiyah, Ibn Khaldun, Al-Faruqi, and Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas.

Third, this study employed a philosophical hermeneutical approach within the Gadamerian tradition to understand the conceptual relationship between the *tawhidic worldview* and the problems of modern education. This approach enabled an in-depth interpretation of the philosophical meaning of the texts and the intellectual

contexts that shaped them (Gadamer, 2004). The final stage involved conceptual reconstruction, namely the process of reformulating the principles of *tawhidic epistemology* into a conceptual framework for the design of contemporary Islamic educational curricula.

The conceptual framework generated in this study consists of: (1) The Foundation of The *Tawhidic Worldview*; (2) The Integration of Revelation and Rationality; (3) Contemporary Curriculum Discourse ; (4) Reconstructing Contemporary Curriculum Discourse ; and (5) The Curriculum Logic of Contemporary Islamic Education.

To maintain interpretive validity, this study employed intertextual validation and conceptual coherence evaluation through the comparison of various academic interpretations of the Islamic thought of both classical and contemporary scholars consist of Al-Ghazali, At Taimiyah, Ibn Khaldun, Al-Faruqi, and Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas and contemporary Islamic educational discourse. Consistency of interpretation was also maintained through comparative reading and conceptual synthesis across the literature. This study does not aim to test the empirical implementation of curricula, but rather to construct a philosophical foundation that may serve as a conceptual basis for the further development of Islamic educational curriculum studies in future research.

Results and Discussion

1. The Conceptual Foundations of the Tawhidic Worldview in Islamic Intellectual Tradition

The Tawhidic worldview stands at the core of Islamic intellectual history, functioning simultaneously as a theological doctrine and an epistemological foundation that unifies spiritual, ethical, and social dimensions into a coherent system of knowledge. The principle of divine unity serves not only as a metaphysical assertion but also as the basis for constructing an integrated epistemology that shapes Islamic thought, education, and social organization (M Akin, 2023; Mowlana, 2013) Here, some basic concepts of Tawhidics Worldview from classical and contemporers thinkers:

Table 1 Tawhidic Worldview from Classical and Contemporary Scholars

Thinkers	Core Worldview	Source of knowledge	Key Contribution
Al-Ghazali	Reality is centered on God; spiritual and moral purification is essential.	Revelation, reason, and spiritual intuition (kashf).	Integration of theology, philosophy, law, and Sufism
Ibn Taimiyah	Strict Monotheism (tawḥīd) governs all aspects of life.	Qur'an, Sunnah, and sound reason consistent with revelation.	Reformist approach emphasizing scriptural authenticity.
Ibn Khaldun	Human civilization follows observable social laws established by God.	Revelation, reason, historical observation, and empirical analysis.	Founder of sociology and philosophy of history.
Al Faruqi	Tawḥīd is the organizing principle of all reality and knowledge.	Revelation and reason working together.	Systematic program for Islamization of contemporary knowledge.
Al Attas	Reality is hierarchical and centered on the recognition of God and proper order.	Revelation integrated with reason and intuition.	Concept of Islamic worldview and Islamization of knowledge

Source : Author's Analyzed, 2026

Table 1 demonstrates that Al-Ghazali's conception of reality is inherently theocentric, positioning God as the ultimate ontological basis from which all forms of existence originate and derive significance (Sa'diyah, 2022). He is the ultimate source of existence, truth, and knowledge. Human beings possess reason, but reason alone is insufficient to attain ultimate certainty. Genuine knowledge emerges through a combination of revelation, rational inquiry, and spiritual purification. For him, the highest purpose of life is attaining closeness to God through knowledge and righteous action (Al-Ghazali, 2020).

Then, Ibn Taymiyyah was a key figure in the development of Tawhidic epistemology. His expansion of *tawātur* traditionally a hadith-related concept into the broader realm of human cognition positioned epistemic certainty and rational integrity as essential components of the search for truth. He systematized Tawhid into categories such as *ulūhiyyah*, *rubūbiyyah*, and the divine names and attributes, and used to define orthodoxy and guide socio-religious norms (Murat Akin, 2023). Beyond theology, ethical principles *amr bi al-ma'ruf, ummah, taqwa, shūrā*, and *amānah* functioned as practical extensions of Tawhid, influencing governance, leadership, economics, and knowledge production by emphasizing justice, coherence, and the inseparability of moral and social values (Nurhidayati & Adriyanto, 2022). His worldview is often characterized as scriptural, reformist, and action-oriented.

Ibn Khaldun developed a worldview that combined religious principles with empirical observation of society (Dajani, 2015). Unlike many earlier scholars, He systematically examined social and economic factors that shape civilizations while maintaining a belief in divine providence.

Ismail Raji al-Faruqi developed a worldview centered on tawhīd as a comprehensive epistemological and civilizational principle. He argued that modern Muslim societies experienced intellectual fragmentation due to the separation of religious and secular knowledge. His solution was the Islamization of contemporary disciplines so that all knowledge would be integrated within a tawhīdic framework.

Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas articulated one of the most influential contemporary formulations of the Islamic worldview (*ru'yat al-Islam li al-wujūd*). His worldview emphasizes proper placement of everything within the order of creation and recognition of God's ultimate sovereignty (Farah Ahmed, 2018). According to him, a "worldview" should not be reduced to the mind's perception of the physical world or to human involvement in historical, social, political, and cultural contexts. Rather, the Islamic worldview embraces both the domains of *al-dunyā* and *al-ākhirah* (Sassi, 2020). Within this perspective, reality is not merely a collection of empirical facts, but the manifestation of what is ultimately true.

Overall, the historical evolution of the Tawhidic worldview underscores its enduring role in shaping Islamic intellectual traditions and developing holistic models that integrate spiritual and material aspects of human life. These thinkers share a commitment to the centrality of God, revelation, and moral responsibility, but they differ in emphasis. Al-Ghazali focuses on spiritual certainty, Ibn Taymiyyah on scriptural authenticity, Ibn Khaldun on social and historical dynamics, Al-Attas on the metaphysical foundations of knowledge and adab, and Al-Faruqi on the integration of modern disciplines within a tawhīdic framework. Together, they represent major streams of Islamic thought that continue to shape contemporary discussions on knowledge, education, society, and civilization.

2. The Epistemological Structure of the Tawhidic Worldview: Integrating Revelation, Reason, and Empirical Knowledge

The integration of revelation, reason, and empirical knowledge constitutes a central theme in Islamic intellectual tradition, reflecting a holistic approach to understanding reality and human existence. Across the classical and contemporary scholars examined in this study, these epistemological sources are not viewed as competing authorities but rather as interconnected dimensions of a unified framework grounded in the principle of *tawhid*. Table 1 demonstrates that while each thinker places different emphases on particular epistemic sources, all maintain that authentic knowledge ultimately derives from and is oriented toward the Divine.

For Al-Ghazali, authentic knowledge emerges through the harmonious integration of divine revelation, rational inquiry, and spiritual intuition (Al-Ghazali, 2020). While reason serves as an essential instrument for understanding the world, its limitations necessitate guidance from revelation and inner spiritual refinement. Similarly, Ibn Taymiyyah emphasized the compatibility of sound reason and authentic revelation, arguing that any apparent contradiction between the two results from faulty reasoning or misinterpretation of scriptural sources (El-Tobgui, 2019). In his view, revelation provides the ultimate criterion for truth, while reason functions as a tool for comprehending and applying divine guidance. His insistence on the harmony between sound reason and authentic revelation positioned him as a critic of speculative theology while simultaneously contributing to the renewal of Islamic philosophical discourse (Alsuhaymi & Atallah, 2025).

Additionally, Ibn Khaldun expanded the epistemological framework by incorporating empirical observation and historical analysis into the pursuit of knowledge. His examination of social and political phenomena

demonstrated that systematic observation and critical inquiry could uncover the patterns governing the rise and decline of civilizations (Dajani, 2015). Nevertheless, empirical investigation remained embedded within a broader theological framework that acknowledged divine sovereignty over historical processes.

Contemporary Muslim scholars have further developed this integrative tradition in response to the challenges of modernity. Al-Attas argues that knowledge should be grounded in an Islamic worldview that recognizes both the physical and metaphysical dimensions of reality (S. M. N. Al-Attas, 1995). He contends that revelation, reason, and intuition must operate within a framework of *adab* to ensure the proper ordering of knowledge. Likewise, Al-Faruqi advocates the integration of revealed and acquired knowledge through the principle of *tawhid*, emphasizing the need to overcome the dichotomy between religious and secular disciplines (Rahman, Yusof, Rashid, & Amir, 2015).

Tawhid constitutes the fundamental epistemic principle that unifies all domains of human inquiry within a coherent system of meaning. Central to this worldview is the *unity of knowledge*, which integrates economic, social, ethical, and spiritual dimensions into a holistic and value-laden framework. In contrast to modern Western epistemologies that privilege autonomous, individualistic cognition, the Tawhidic paradigm conceptualizes knowledge as participatory, relational, and co-evolutionary, situating human understanding within a divinely ordered and interconnected cosmos (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2010).

The integration of revelation, reason, and empirical knowledge constitutes a central theme in Islamic intellectual tradition, reflecting a holistic approach to understanding reality and human existence. Classical scholars such as Al-Ghazali, Ibn Taymiyyah, and Ibn Khaldun, as well as contemporary thinkers including Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas and Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, have articulated distinctive yet complementary perspectives on the relationship between these sources of knowledge.

Within this integrative vision, plurality is not perceived as a contradiction to unity. Rather, *Tawhid* functions as a meta-framework that accommodates diversity within an overarching ontological and epistemological unity, offering constructive pathways for engaging with religious and cultural pluralism (Wilkinson, 2021). Methodologically, Tawhidic epistemology advances Qur'anic concepts such as circular causation and relational pairing, grounding the search for knowledge in reciprocal and interconnected patterns that shape both natural and social systems (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2018, 2021).

This epistemological framework is further anchored in the harmonization of revelation (*naql*), reason (*'aql*), and *fitrah*, forming a triadic architecture in which spiritual insight, rational deliberation, and innate human disposition converge (Alsuhaymi & Atallah, 2025). Taken together, Tawhidic epistemology presents an integrated, participatory, and evolutionary approach to knowledge that unifies spiritual, ethical, and rational dimensions of intellectual inquiry.

Collectively, these thinkers present an epistemological model in which revelation provides ultimate guidance, reason facilitates critical understanding, and empirical knowledge contributes practical and contextual insights. Rather than viewing these sources as competing modes of knowing, the Islamic intellectual tradition regards them as complementary dimensions of a unified quest for truth, enabling a comprehensive understanding of both the natural world and humanity's relationship with the Divine.

3. Epistemological Critique of Contemporary Curriculum Discourse

The findings indicate that contemporary curriculum discourse is predominantly shaped by epistemological assumptions derived from secular modernity, in which knowledge is increasingly evaluated according to its instrumental, measurable, and market-oriented functions. Across the curriculum frameworks analyzed, educational objectives are frequently articulated in terms of competencies, skills acquisition, employability, innovation, and economic productivity (Qatawneh & Rababah, 2021; Boyle, 2019). While these objectives reflect legitimate societal concerns, the results suggest that they simultaneously narrow the epistemological foundations of education by privileging technical and empirical forms of knowledge over ethical, metaphysical, and spiritual dimensions of human development.

From a Tawhidic perspective, this epistemological orientation reveals a fundamental reductionism in contemporary curriculum discourse. The curriculum is often conceptualized as a mechanism for producing economically productive individuals capable of responding to the demands of rapidly changing global markets (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2023). Consequently, educational success is increasingly measured through standardized assessments, learning outcomes, performance indicators, and employability metrics. The findings demonstrate that such approaches tend to assume that knowledge is value-neutral and that educational quality can be adequately assessed through quantifiable indicators. However, the classical and contemporary Muslim scholars examined in this study challenge this assumption by arguing that knowledge is inseparable from questions of meaning, purpose, and moral responsibility.

The analysis reveals that Al-Ghazali's epistemological framework provides a significant critique of contemporary educational paradigms. For Al-Ghazali, knowledge cannot be reduced to cognitive acquisition or technical mastery because its ultimate purpose is the cultivation of wisdom and spiritual refinement (Khasawneh, Mostafa, & Rawagah, 2022). Education is fundamentally concerned with the transformation of the self rather than

the accumulation of information (Rassool, 2023). When viewed through this lens, contemporary curriculum discourse appears to prioritize epistemic efficiency at the expense of ethical and spiritual formation. The dominance of outcome-based educational models reflects an implicit assumption that educational value lies primarily in what learners can do rather than in what they become.

A similar critique emerges from Ibn Taymiyyah's insistence on the unity of truth and the inseparability of knowledge from divine guidance. The findings suggest that contemporary curriculum frameworks frequently compartmentalize knowledge into autonomous disciplinary domains, thereby obscuring the interconnected nature of reality. Such fragmentation encourages students to perceive scientific, social, ethical, and religious questions as unrelated spheres of inquiry. From a Tawhidic perspective, however, this separation represents an epistemological distortion because it disconnects human understanding from its transcendent source. The consequence is not merely curricular fragmentation but a broader crisis of meaning in which education provides technical competence without a coherent moral orientation.

The results further indicate that Ibn Khaldun's insights into civilization and social development offer an important critique of the utilitarian tendencies embedded within contemporary educational reforms. Modern curriculum discourse often assumes that educational systems should primarily serve economic growth and national competitiveness. While Ibn Khaldun recognizes the importance of practical knowledge for societal advancement, his theory of civilization suggests that sustainable social development depends equally upon moral cohesion, cultural continuity, and collective purpose (Abiddin, Omar, & Saroni, 2024; Fua, Nurlila, Gunawan, & Wekke, 2018; Muliati, Hannani, Ilham, Anwar, & Jadi, 2025). Educational systems that focus exclusively on economic outcomes may therefore undermine the very social foundations necessary for long-term civilizational flourishing.

This critique becomes particularly salient when considered alongside contemporary developments in global education policy. The increasing emphasis on twenty-first-century skills, digital literacy, artificial intelligence competencies, and workforce readiness reflects a broader transformation in the aims of education (Grieco, 2016). Although these developments address genuine technological and economic challenges, the findings suggest that they are often framed within an epistemological paradigm that privileges adaptability over wisdom, information over understanding, and performance over character. As a result, educational discourse risks producing what Al-Attas describes as the "loss of *adab*," a condition in which knowledge is detached from its ethical and metaphysical foundations (Mohamed, Rozaq, Ndow, & Rabe, 2025).

His analysis demonstrates that Al-Attas' concept of the crisis of knowledge remains highly relevant to contemporary curriculum debates. According to his framework, the central problem of modern education is not the absence of scientific or technological advancement but the confusion regarding the nature and purpose of knowledge itself (S. M. N. Al-Attas, 2020). The findings reveal that contemporary curricula frequently promote interdisciplinary integration and critical thinking while simultaneously neglecting the question of the ultimate ends toward which these capacities should be directed (Zainuddin, Amrullah, & Zuhriyah, 2025). Consequently, learners may acquire sophisticated analytical skills without developing the moral and spiritual frameworks necessary for their responsible application. This creates a paradox in which educational systems become increasingly effective in transmitting information while becoming less capable of cultivating wisdom.

Similarly, Al-Faruqi's critique of the secularization of knowledge provides a powerful lens for understanding the limitations of current curriculum discourse. The results suggest that many contemporary curriculum models operate within an implicit dualism that separates factual knowledge from ethical commitment and professional competence from moral responsibility. Such separation reflects a broader epistemological assumption that values belong to the private sphere while knowledge belongs to the public sphere (Rassool, 2020). From the Tawhidic perspective, however, this distinction is fundamentally untenable because all forms of knowledge are embedded within broader moral and metaphysical frameworks. The challenge, therefore, is not merely to add ethical content to existing curricula but to reconstruct the epistemological assumptions upon which curriculum design is based.

A key finding of this study is that the Tawhidic worldview offers an alternative paradigm capable of addressing the limitations of contemporary curriculum discourse. Rather than rejecting empirical knowledge, scientific inquiry, or technological innovation, the Tawhidic framework seeks to integrate these forms of knowledge within a broader understanding of human purpose and responsibility. Revelation provides normative guidance, reason facilitates critical engagement, and empirical inquiry enables practical understanding of the natural and social worlds (Alsuhaymi & Atallah, 2025; El-Tobgui, 2019). The curriculum, therefore, becomes not simply a vehicle for knowledge transmission but a means of cultivating balanced individuals capable of integrating intellectual excellence, ethical responsibility, and spiritual awareness.

Theoretically, these findings contribute to ongoing debates concerning the purposes of education in the twenty-first century. Much contemporary curriculum theory remains trapped between competing paradigms of economic instrumentalism and progressive humanism. The Tawhidic perspective introduces a third possibility by reconceptualizing education as a process of holistic human development grounded in an integrated epistemological framework. This perspective challenges the assumption that educational modernization necessarily requires the marginalization of metaphysical and religious sources of knowledge. Instead, it argues

that genuine educational transformation requires a more comprehensive understanding of knowledge itself.

Therefore, the central critique emerging from this study is not directed against contemporary curriculum reforms, but against the epistemological assumptions that often underpin them. As long as educational discourse remains confined within frameworks that prioritize measurable outcomes while neglecting questions of ultimate meaning and purpose, curriculum reform is likely to produce increasingly sophisticated systems of instruction without addressing the deeper crisis of human formation. A Tawhidic epistemological approach suggests that the future of curriculum development depends not only on improving what students know and can do but also on clarifying why knowledge matters and how it should contribute to the flourishing of individuals, societies, and civilizations.

The methodological distinctions between Tawhidic epistemology and modern Western frameworks stem from differing ontological assumptions and structures of knowledge. Tawhidic epistemology emphasizes universality, interdependence, and systemic coherence, whereas Western models generally foreground individual autonomy, disciplinary fragmentation, and secular categorization (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2010). The Islamic *Interactive, Integrative, and Evolutionary (IIE)* methodology prioritizes continuity, dynamic processes, and circular causation contrasting sharply with the linear, reductionist tendencies of positivistic and rational-choice paradigms in the West (Ascarya & Tekdogan, 2021; Masudul Alam Choudhury, Azid, & Klasra, 2006).

Tawhidic thought also rejects metaphysical dualisms, particularly the separation of sacred and profane or the division between moral and material knowledge. Instead, it affirms an organic unity that characterizes the Islamic worldview (M A Choudhury, 2018; Şimşek, 2020). Movements for the Islamization of Knowledge reinforce this critique of epistemic secularization by insisting on the inseparability of revealed knowledge and empirical inquiry (Majid & Aljunied, 2023).

These contrasts reveal that the Tawhidic paradigm offers a more integrated, ethically grounded alternative to dominant Western epistemologies, with substantive implications for curriculum theory and design.

4. Reconstructing Contemporary Curriculum Discourse through the Tawhidic Worldview

The reconstructing contemporary curriculum discourse through the Tawhidic worldview requires more than the incorporation of religious content into existing educational frameworks. Rather, it necessitates a fundamental reconfiguration of the epistemological, ontological, and axiological assumptions that underpin curriculum theory and practice. The analysis demonstrates that the central challenge facing contemporary curriculum discourse is not merely the fragmentation of knowledge into specialized disciplines, but the absence of a coherent philosophical framework capable of integrating diverse forms of knowledge within a meaningful conception of human purpose. In this regard, the Tawhidic worldview offers a comprehensive alternative by situating educational knowledge within the broader unity of reality, knowledge, and human existence.

The results reveal that contemporary curriculum discourse is largely structured around instrumental rationality. Educational objectives are increasingly formulated in terms of measurable competencies, economic productivity, technological adaptability, and workforce preparedness (Abdullah, Zaqiah, Ridwan, Hisniati, & Erihardiana, 2024). While these priorities reflect legitimate responses to global economic transformation, they simultaneously redefine education according to utilitarian criteria that privilege functional outcomes over questions of meaning, moral responsibility, and human flourishing. Consequently, the curriculum is frequently reduced to a technical instrument designed to facilitate social and economic efficiency. This reductionist orientation reflects an epistemological paradigm in which knowledge derives its legitimacy primarily from its practical utility rather than its contribution to the holistic development of the human person.

From the perspective of the Tawhidic worldview, such assumptions represent a profound narrowing of educational purpose. The principle of *tawhid* affirms the unity of all dimensions of reality under divine sovereignty and therefore rejects the fragmentation of knowledge into isolated and self-referential domains. The findings indicate that classical scholars such as Al-Ghazali, Ibn Taymiyyah, and Ibn Khaldun, as well as contemporary thinkers such as Al-Attas and Al-Faruqi, consistently conceptualize knowledge as an interconnected enterprise in which revelation, reason, and empirical inquiry function as complementary sources of understanding. This epistemological integration provides a critical foundation for reconstructing curriculum discourse beyond the limitations of secular compartmentalization.

A key finding of this study is that the reconstruction of curriculum discourse must begin with a redefinition of the aims of education. Within dominant contemporary frameworks, educational success is frequently evaluated through indicators such as academic achievement, employability, innovation capacity, and economic competitiveness. Although these outcomes are important, the Tawhidic worldview argues that they are insufficient as ultimate educational goals. The findings suggest that education should be understood primarily as a process of cultivating balanced and responsible human beings who are capable of fulfilling their intellectual, ethical, social, and spiritual responsibilities. This conception shifts the focus of curriculum discourse from the production of human capital to the formation of holistic human persons.

This distinction carries significant implications for curriculum design. Contemporary curriculum models often prioritize the acquisition of competencies while treating values and ethical commitments as supplementary

concerns. In contrast, the Tawhidic framework views knowledge and ethics as intrinsically interconnected. Knowledge is not merely descriptive but also normative, shaping how individuals understand themselves, others, and the wider world. Consequently, curriculum reconstruction requires the integration of ethical reflection throughout the educational process rather than confining moral education to discrete subjects or extracurricular activities. The findings therefore challenge the assumption that educational neutrality is either possible or desirable, arguing instead that every curriculum embodies particular assumptions about human nature, social order, and the purpose of life.

The analysis further reveals that the Tawhidic worldview provides a basis for overcoming the dualism that characterizes much contemporary educational thought. Modern curriculum discourse frequently separates religious knowledge from scientific knowledge, values from facts, and personal identity from professional competence (Junevicius, Juneviciene, Cepeliauskaite, & Daugeliene, 2021). Such distinctions are often presented as necessary conditions for academic objectivity. However, the findings indicate that this dualistic framework contributes to the fragmentation of educational experience and the erosion of coherent moral frameworks. The Tawhidic paradigm offers an alternative model in which different forms of knowledge retain their methodological distinctiveness while remaining integrated within a unified understanding of reality. Scientific inquiry, therefore, is not simplistically subordinated to religious authority, nor is revelation excluded from educational discourse. Rather, both are understood as complementary avenues for exploring different dimensions of truth.

This integrative approach has particular relevance in the context of contemporary technological transformation. The rise of artificial intelligence, digital platforms, and data-driven decision-making has intensified demands for technical expertise and technological literacy (Grii & Care, 2015). While these developments create new opportunities for learning and innovation, they also raise complex ethical questions concerning human agency, social justice, environmental sustainability, and the future of human identity. The findings suggest that a curriculum grounded solely in technical competence is insufficient for addressing such challenges. The Tawhidic worldview contributes a broader moral and philosophical framework that enables learners to evaluate technological developments not only in terms of efficiency and productivity but also in relation to human dignity, ethical responsibility, and the common good (M. N. Al-Attas, 1980).

Importantly, the findings do not support a nostalgic return to pre-modern educational models. The reconstruction proposed by the Tawhidic worldview is neither anti-modern nor anti-scientific. Instead, it advocates a critical engagement with modern knowledge systems by incorporating them into a broader epistemological and ethical framework. Scientific advancement, technological innovation, and global interconnectedness are recognized as valuable achievements; however, their significance is evaluated according to their contribution to human well-being and moral development rather than solely in terms of economic or technological progress. In this sense, the Tawhidic worldview offers a transformative rather than reactionary response to contemporary educational challenges.

Therefore, the reconstruction of contemporary curriculum discourse through the Tawhidic worldview should be understood as a project of epistemological renewal rather than curricular modification alone. Its primary contribution lies in restoring the unity of knowledge, reconnecting educational processes with questions of meaning and purpose, and re-establishing the formation of morally responsible human beings as the central objective of education. Such a reconstruction offers a viable alternative to the increasingly fragmented and utilitarian tendencies of contemporary curriculum discourse, providing a foundation for educational systems that are intellectually rigorous, ethically grounded, socially responsible, and spiritually meaningful.

Theoretically, this study contributes to curriculum scholarship by demonstrating that debates concerning educational reform are fundamentally epistemological and philosophical rather than merely technical. Curriculum frameworks are never neutral mechanisms for organizing knowledge; they reflect deeper assumptions about reality, humanity, and the nature of the good life. The Tawhidic worldview exposes the limitations of dominant educational paradigms that privilege instrumental rationality while marginalizing ethical and metaphysical questions. At the same time, it provides a coherent framework for integrating diverse forms of knowledge within a holistic vision of human development.

5. Theoretical Contributions of Tawhidic Epistemology to Contemporary Curriculum Theory

The findings indicate that Tawhidic epistemology offers a significant theoretical contribution to contemporary curriculum theory by challenging the persistent fragmentation of knowledge that characterizes modern educational systems. While contemporary curriculum frameworks often distinguish between cognitive, affective, vocational, and spiritual domains, the Tawhidic perspective conceptualizes knowledge as an integrated reality rooted in the unity of God (*tawhid*). This ontological and epistemological foundation repositions curriculum from a mechanism of knowledge transmission toward a holistic process of human development directed toward both worldly excellence and spiritual fulfillment. The collective contributions of Al-Ghazālī, Ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn Khaldūn, al-Attas, and al-Faruqī offer a fundamentally different epistemological vision in which all forms of knowledge are interconnected through a common ontological foundation.

A particularly significant contribution emerges from Al-Ghazālī's and al-Attas's emphasis on ethical formation. Contemporary character education movements frequently struggle to establish coherent philosophical foundations capable of integrating moral development with academic learning. Tawhidic epistemology addresses this limitation by conceptualizing ethics not as an additional curricular component but as an intrinsic characteristic of knowledge itself (Moslimany, Otaibi, & Shaikh, 2024). In this framework, knowledge divorced from moral responsibility is considered incomplete. This position challenges dominant assumptions that cognitive achievement can be evaluated independently of ethical consequences.

The relevance of Ibn Khaldūn's thought is especially apparent in contemporary discussions concerning socially responsive curricula. His understanding of education as a civilizational process anticipates modern theories emphasizing the relationship between schooling, culture, and social transformation. However, unlike many contemporary sociological approaches that treat education primarily as a mechanism of social reproduction, Ibn Khaldūn situates educational development within a broader moral and spiritual framework (Khaldun, 2014). This integration enriches contemporary curriculum theory by connecting societal advancement with ethical responsibility.

The works of al-Attas and al-Faruqi further extend these classical insights into modern educational contexts. Both scholars diagnose the epistemological consequences of secularization and propose models for restoring coherence between scientific knowledge, ethical values, and spiritual meaning. Their contributions are particularly relevant in contemporary debates surrounding curriculum decolonization, knowledge justice, and alternative epistemologies. While many decolonial approaches critique Western epistemic dominance, al-Attas and al-Faruqi move beyond critique by offering a constructive epistemological framework rooted in Tawhid. Their work demonstrates how non-Western intellectual traditions can contribute not only cultural diversity but also theoretical innovation to global curriculum discourse (Tasnim Abdul Rahman, Zuriati Mohd Rashid, Wan Sabri Wan Yusof, & Ahmad Nabil Amir, 2017).

The synthesis of these classical and contemporary perspectives ultimately generates a curriculum theory centered on what may be termed epistemological, ethical, and anthropological integration. Epistemological integration refers to the unity of revealed, rational, and empirical knowledge; ethical integration concerns the inseparability of knowing and moral action; and anthropological integration reflects a holistic understanding of human development. Together, these dimensions constitute a comprehensive alternative to fragmented curriculum paradigms that separate cognition from morality, knowledge from meaning, and education from broader questions of human purpose.

Tawhidic epistemology provides a unifying worldview capable of fundamentally restructuring modern educational paradigms. By integrating spiritual, ethical, and intellectual dimensions into a coherent epistemic system, this framework challenges the disciplinary fragmentation characteristic of Western secular curricula (Masudul Alam Choudhury, 2019). Its layered curriculum orientation progressing from classical textual knowledge to contemporary thematic interpretation creates an adaptive structure that aligns traditional Islamic scholarship with the demands of modern contexts (Junaedi et al., 2025). The paradigm further advances dialogical rationality, promoting multiperspectivity, critical engagement, and resistance to hegemonic ideologies and structural injustices (Castellví, 2024; Salinas Gaona & Reyes, 2021). Through this holistic vision, education is reconstructed as a liberatory and human-centered endeavor grounded in monotheistic ethics and moral accountability (Hayden, 2024; Kuby & Zhao, 2021). Tawhidic epistemology offers a transformative philosophical foundation that reorients curriculum development toward holistic integration, ethical coherence, and contextual relevance.

Future advancements in this field require the development of layered and context-sensitive curriculum models that integrate naqli and aqli epistemologies while remaining faithful to the aims of Islamic education. This effort must be supported by transdisciplinary and dialogical approaches that promote cross-disciplinary synthesis and inclusive knowledge production across Islamic and secular settings. Further empirical studies especially within digital and technology-enhanced learning environments are essential to assess the practical impact of Tawhidic epistemic integration. Strengthening professional development for educators is equally crucial to cultivate practitioners capable of bridging classical Islamic scholarship with contemporary pedagogical demands. Finally, synthesizing decolonial curriculum perspectives with indigenous Islamic epistemologies is necessary to advance epistemic justice and revitalize the Islamic intellectual tradition in global educational discourse. Collectively, these strategies provide a pathway for reimagining curriculum theory and practice in ways that cultivate morally grounded, spiritually aware, and intellectually capable learners suited for a complex and pluralistic world.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the Tawhidic worldview represents a comprehensive epistemological framework that offers significant insights for contemporary curriculum discourse. Rooted in the principle of *tawhid*, it rejects the fragmentation of knowledge and affirms the unity of revelation, reason, empirical inquiry,

and ethical responsibility. The intellectual contributions of al-Ghazali, Ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn Khaldun, al-Attas, and al-Faruqi collectively reveal a coherent tradition in which knowledge is understood as a means of cultivating both intellectual excellence and moral character. The analysis further suggests that Tawhidic epistemology provides a critical alternative to predominantly instrumental and technocratic curriculum models that prioritize measurable outcomes while often neglecting questions of purpose, values, and human flourishing. By integrating cognitive, ethical, spiritual, and social dimensions of learning, the Tawhidic perspective advances a holistic conception of education that transcends the dichotomy between religious and secular knowledge. The relevance of this framework lies not merely in its theological foundations but in its capacity to address contemporary educational challenges related to meaning, identity, and moral responsibility. As curriculum theory increasingly seeks integrative and human-centered approaches, Tawhidic epistemology offers a compelling paradigm for reconceptualizing education as the formation of knowledgeable, ethical, and socially responsible individuals. Consequently, the Tawhidic worldview remains a valuable theoretical resource for enriching contemporary debates on the aims, foundations, and future direction of curriculum development.

References

- Abdullah, A., Zaqiah, Q. Y., Ridwan, M., Hisniati, S. B., & Erihardiana, M. (2024). Reactualization Islamic Theology In Education: Designing Curriculum, Method Learning, And Technology Integration For Transformation Educative. *Britain International of Linguistics Arts and Education (BioLAE) Journal*, 6(1), 24–32.
- Abiddin, N. Z., Omar, R., & Saroni, M. (2024). Cultivating Emphaty And Environmental Stewardship: Harnessing The Partnerships of Parents, Teachers, And Communities For Sustainable Moral Development And Social Cohesion; Cultivar La Empatía Y La Gestión Ambiental: Aprovechar Las Alianzas De Padres, Maes. *Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental*, 18(8). <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n8-052>
- Ahmad, S. (2019). Al-Attas on Language and Thought: Its Relation to Worldview, Change and Translation, 83–97. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.56389/tafhim.vol12no2.4>
- Ahmed, F. (2018). An exploration of Naquib al-Attas’ theory of Islamic education as ta’dīb as an ‘indigenous’ educational philosophy. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 50(8), 786–794. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2016.1247685>
- Ahmed, Farah. (2018). An exploration of Naquib al-Attas’ theory of Islamic Education as Ta’dīb as An ‘Indigenous’ Educational Philosophy. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 50(8), 786–794.
- Akin, M. (2023). The Historical Process and Critism of Classifying Tawḥīd in the Form of Ulūhiyyah, Rubūbiyyah and the Divine Names and Attributes of Allah. *Mutefekkir*, 10(19), 47–66. <https://doi.org/10.30523/mutefekkir.1312435>
- Akin, Murat. (2023). The Historical Process and Critism of Classifying Tawḥīd in the Form of Ulūhiyyah, Rubūbiyyah and the Divine Names and Attributes of Allah; [TEVHİDİN ULŪHİYYET, RUBŪBİYYET VE İSİM-SİFAT ŞEKLİNDE TASNİFİNİN TARİHİ SÜRECİ VE KRİTİĞİ]. *Mutefekkir*, 10(19), 47 – 66. <https://doi.org/10.30523/mutefekkir.1312435>
- Aktan, S. (2018). Islamic Civilization and Its Classical Curriculum Theory: The Philosophy, Institutions and Important Theoreticians of Classical Curriculum Theory. In *Curriculum Studies Worldwide* (pp. 1–43). Balıkesir University, Balıkesir, Turkey: Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-53538-2_1
- Al-Attas, M. N. (1980). *The Concept of Education in Islam*. Muslim Youth Movement of Malaysia Kuala Lumpur.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1995). *Prolegomena To The Metaphysics Of Islam An Exposition Of The Fundamental Elements Of The Worldview of Islam*. Kuala Lumpur: Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (2020). Islam dan Sekularisme (KMA Harris (trans.). *RZS-CASIS Hakim*.
- Al-Ghazali, I. (2020). *Ihya’ ‘Ulumuddin 10*. Nuansa Cendekia.
- Alsuhaymi, A. O., & Atallah, F. A. (2025). Reason and Revelation in Ibn Taymiyyah’s Critique of Philosophical Theology: A Contribution to Contemporary Islamic Philosophy of Religion. *Religions*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel16070809>
- Ascarya, A., & Tekdogan, O. F. (2021). *Recomended Methodology For Research In Islamic Economics And Finance. Teaching and Research Methods for Islamic Economics and Finance*. Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003252764-22>
- Boyle, H. N. (2019). Registered Medersas In Mali: Effectively Integrating Islamic And Western Educational Epistemologies In Practice. *Comparative Education Review*, 63(2), 145–165. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1086/702592>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide*. SAGE.
- Castellví, J. (2024). Epistemological Obstacles to Social Studies Education. *Trends in Higher Education*, 3(4), 1072–1080. <https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu3040062>
- Choudhury, M A. (2018). Tawhidi Islamic Economics in Reference to the Methodology Arising from The Qur’ān

- and the Sunnah. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance*, 10(2), 263–276. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-02-2018-0025>
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam. (2010). The Dynamics of The Shari'ah and The World-System. *Journal of King Abdulaziz University, Islamic Economics*, 23(2), 201 – 227.
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam. (2018). Tawhidi Islamic economics in reference to the methodology arising from the Qur'ān and the Sunnah. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance*, 10(2), 263–276. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-02-2018-0025>
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam. (2019). *Comparative understanding of the socio-scientific process: Islam and occidentalism. Methodology of Islamic Economics: Problems and Solutions*. Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429320804-10>
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam. (2021). *The Tawhidi Methodology with Implications on Islamic Economics as an Embedded Socio-scientific System: Contra-Islamic Neoclassical Reasoning. God-Conscious Organization and the Islamic Social Economy*. Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315585321-12>
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam. (2023). The Tawhidi Methodological Worldview as A Model of Circular Political Economy. *Journal of Economics & Management Research*, 4(2), 1–9. [https://doi.org/10.47363/jesmr/2023\(4\)176](https://doi.org/10.47363/jesmr/2023(4)176)
- Choudhury, Masudul Alam, Azid, T., & Klasra, M. A. (2006). Knowledge-induced technological change: A study involving the automobile innovation in Malaysia with layers of techniques. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 33(11), 744 – 765. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03068290610705661>
- Dajani, B. A. S. (2015). The Ideal Education in Ibn Khaldun's Muqaddimah. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 192, 308–312. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.044>
- El-Tobgui, C. S. (2019). Reason and Revelation in Islam before Ibn Taymiyya. In *Ibn Taymiyya on Reason and Revelation*. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004412866_004
- Fua, J. La, Nurlila, R. U., Gunawan, F., & Wekke, I. S. (2018). Islamic Education on Formation of Environmental Awareness in Pondok Pesantren Indonesia. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 156). Institute of Physics Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/156/1/012035>
- Gadamer, H.-G. (2004). *Truth and Method* (Second, Re). New York: continuum.
- Gert J. J. Biesta. (2011). *Good Education in an Age of Measurement Ethics, Politics, Democracy*. Routledge.
- Grieco, L. N. (2016). *Meeting the Demands of the 21 st Century Workplace: Effects of Critical Thinking Instruction on the Application of Critical Thought*. Northcentral University.
- Grii, P., & Care, E. (2015). *Educational Assessment in an Information Age Assessment and Teaching of 21 st Century Skills*. Retrieved from <http://www.springer.com/series/13475>
- Hayden, W. (2024). The Epistemology of Ta'dib in Islamic Civilizational Discourse: Reviving and Reconstructing Contemporary Muslim Scholars' Views. *Journal of Al-Tamaddun*, 19(1), 181–197. <https://doi.org/10.22452/JAT.vol19no1.14>
- Junaedi, Syafi'i, I., Hasyim, F., & Jawid Marwat, M. (2025). Transformational Curriculum Model through Interpretation Epistemology; Islamic Boarding School Curriculum Management Perspective. *Munaddhomah*, 6(3), 387 – 403. <https://doi.org/10.31538/munaddhomah.v6i3.1802>
- Junevicius, A., Juneviciene, O., Cepeliauskaite, G., & Daugeliene, R. (2021). Development and Implementation of Integrated Curriculum in Management Studies. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.13187/ejced.2021.2.375>
- Karwadi, Bin Zakaria, A. R., Setiyawan, A., & Ferdi Hasan, M. (2025). Integration of Critical Pedagogy in Islamic Education: a Case Study of Pre-Service Teacher Training. *British Journal of Religious Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2025.2560905>
- Khaldun, I. (2014). The Muqaddimah: An Introduction to History. *The Anthropology of Climate Change: An Historical Reader*, 55–66.
- Khasawneh, O., Mostafa, I. I., & Rawagah, H. G. (2022). Educational Philosophical Thought and Implication of Abu Hamid Al-Ghazali: An Islamic Teacher Education Perspective. *The International Journal of Religion and Spirituality in Society*, 12(1), 115. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.18848/2154-8633/CGP/v12i01/115-132>
- Kuby, C. R., & Zhao, W. (2021). Ethico-Onto-Epistemology. In *A Glossary for Doing Postqualitative, New Materialist and Critical Posthumanist Research across Disciplines* (pp. 64–65). University of Missouri, United States: Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003041153-32>
- Majid, A. A., & Aljunied, K. (2023). Some Reflections On The Islamization Of Knowledge. *Al-Shajarah*, 28(2), 407–423. <https://doi.org/10.31436/shajarah.v28i2.1721>
- Mohamed, A. A., Rozaq, M. A., Ndow, M., & Rabe, M. (2025). Adab as the Epistemological Foundation of Islamic Education: A Study of Syed Naquib al-Attas. *Journal of World Thinkers*, 2(1), 47–62.
- Moslimany, R., Otaibi, A., & Shaikh, F. (2024). Designing a Holistic Curriculum: Challenges and Opportunities

- in Islamic Education. *Journal on Islamic Studies*, 1(1), 52–73. <https://doi.org/10.35335/beztg009>
- Mowlana, H. (2013). Communication and cultural settings: An Islamic perspective. In *The Global Intercultural Communication Reader* (pp. 237–247). Northwestern University, United States: Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203508534-25>
- Muliati, Hannani, Ilham, M., Anwar, K., & Jadi, S. Al. (2025). Dialectics of Theology and Ecology in Ammatoa ri Kajang in Bulukumba, Indonesia: Paradigm of Tawhidic and Environmental Fiqh in Sulapa Appa. *Samarah*, 9(1), 374 – 393. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjkh.v9i1.28242>
- Nurhidayati, & Adriyanto, A. T. (2022). The Role of Tawhidic Paradigm in Knowledge Creation Process. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 497 LNNS, 337 – 347. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08812-4_32
- Qatawneh, M. A. S., & Rababah, Y. A. S. (2021). Reflections of Faith ('aqidah) on arts: A Comparative Analytical Study on Islamic and Western Arts. *Afkar*, 23(1), 251–278. <https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol23no1.7>
- Rahman, T. A., Yusof, W. S. W., Rashid, Z. M., & Amir, A. N. (2015). Al-Faruqi's Fundamental Ideas and Philosophy of Education. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 15(2), 235–247.
- Rassool, G. H. (2020). Cognitive Restructuring of Psychology: The Case for a Vertical and Horizontal Integrated, Embedded Curriculum Model for Islamic Psychology. *Islamic Studies*, 59(4), 477 – 494.
- Rassool, G. H. (2023). *Islāmic Psychology: the Basics*. *Islāmic Psychology: The Basics*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003312956>
- Sa'diyah, H. H. (2022). An Analysis Of Islamic Moral Value Of Omar & Hana Animation Based On Al-Ghazali's Perspective. INSTITUT AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI MADURA.
- Salinas Gaona, S. E., & Reyes, J. M. (2021). Complexity, Transdisciplinarity and Decolonial pedagogy. Epistemic Bases for An Educational Curriculum Reform. *Encuentros (Maracaibo)*, 14, 228–256. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5205225>
- Sassi, K. (2020). Principles Of Islamic Education Epistemology Tauhid Paradigm (Analysis Of Thinking Of Naquib Al-Attas); [Prinsip-Prinsip Epistemologi Pendidikan Islam Paradigma Tauhid Naquib al-Attas]. *Millah: Journal of Religious Studies*, 20(1), 135 – 172. <https://doi.org/10.20885/millah.vol20.iss1.art6>
- Shamsaei, M., & Shah, M. H. (2022). Recognizing the Position and Validity of Science in Understanding Religion: The Perspectives of Syed Muhammad Naquib Al-Attas and Pervez Amirali Hoodbhoy. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 22(1), 73–89. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21093/di.v22i1.4357>
- Şimşek, O. (2020). Sociology of the effect of the relationship between the method of thought and cultural change on activating positivism (Positivistic thought/ideology) in Turkey. *Bilig*, 94, 1–28.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Tasnim Abdul Rahman, Zuriati Mohd Rashid, Wan Sabri Wan Yusof, & Ahmad Nabil Amir. (2017). Pemikiran Al-Faruqi dan Sumbangannya dalam Transformasi Islam di Malaysia Melalui Islamisasi Ilmu. *Malaysian Journal For Islamic Studies*.
- The Philosophy of Knowledge in Islam. (2016). In *Islamic Financial Economy and Islamic Banking* (pp. 34 – 48). Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315590011-3>
- Traore, A. (2019). The Dead Weight That Is Hindering the Islamisation of Knowledge. *Islamic Studies*, 58(2), 205 – 218.
- Wilkinson, T. R. (2021). Divine Unity and Human Plurality in Turkish Muslim Thought. *Philosophy and Politics - Critical Explorations*, 16, 171 – 188. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66089-5_10
- Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2017). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Planning Education and Research*, 39(1), 93–112. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971>
- Zainuddin, Amrullah, A. M. K., & Zuhriyah, I. A. (2025). The Challenges of Developing Islamic Education Curriculum and Strategies for Its Development in Facing Future Competency Demands. *Tafkir: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Education*, 6(1), 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.31538/tijie.v6i1.1316>
- Zakariya, D. M. (2019). Curriculum Implementation Integral Education Based on Tauhid (Case Study of Curriculum Implementation in SMA Luqman Al Hakim Surabaya). *Studia Religia (Pemikiran Dan Pendidikan Islam)*, 3(1), 37–44.